1	DAYLE ELIESON		
$_2$	United States Attorney CHRISTOPHER BURTON		
3	Assistant United States Attorney		
5	Nevada Bar No. 12940 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
5	PHONE: (702) 388-6336 FAX: (702) 388-5087		
6	<u>Christopher.Burton4@usdoj.gov</u>		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA -oOo-		
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:17-cr-00103-KJD-PAL	
10	Plaintiff,	Stipulation to Continue Pretrial Response and Reply Deadlines	
11	vs.	(First Request)	
12	NICHOLAS BRODIGAN		
13	VICTORIA COMMISSO,		
	Defendants.		
14	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED A	ND AGREED, by and between DAYLE	
15	ELIESON, United States Attorney, and CHRISTOPHER BURTON, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Rene L. Valladares, Federal Public Defender, and Nisha Brooks-Whittington, Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for Defendant NICHOLAS BRODIGAN, and Jennifer Waldo, Esq., counsel for Defendant VICTORIA COMMISSO, that the deadline currently scheduled for the filing of any responses to pretrial motions (August 20, 2018) be vacated and the parties herein shall have to and including August 27, 2018, within which to file any		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	responses to pretrial motions.		
23	responses to premiar monons.		
24			

1 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, that the parties herein shall 2have to and including September 3, 2018, to file any and all replies to dispositive 3 motions. 4 This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons: 5 1. Counsel for the Government has been in a jury trial during the week of 6 August 13, 2018, and therefore needs additional time to review the filed motions and 7 prepare substantive responses. 8 2. The parties agree to the continuance. 9 3. The defendants are currently detained pending trial but do not object to a 10 continuance of the deadlines for responses and replies. 11 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, 12 but to allow counsel for Government and defendants sufficient time within which to be 13 able to effective and completely research and prepare pleadings related to multiple 14 pretrial motions that have been filed. 15 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a 16 miscarriage of justice. 17 /// 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21/// 22/// 23 /// 24

### Case 2:17-cr-00103-KJD-PAL Document 102 Filed 08/20/18 Page 3 of 5

1	6. This is the <u>first</u> stipulation to continue the pretrial response and repl	
2	deadline.	
3		
4	DATED this 20th day of August, 2018.	
5	Respectfully submitted,	
6	RENE L. VALLADARES	DAYLE ELIESON
7	Federal Public Defender By: //s//	United States Attorney By: //s//
8	NISHA BROOKS-WHITTINGTON Counsel for Defendant	CHRISTOPHER BURTON Assistant United States Attorney
9	NICHOLAS BRODIGAN	
10	By: //s//	
11	JENNIFER WALDO Counsel for Defendant	
12	VICTORIA COMMISSO	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

## 

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA -oOo-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

NICHOLAS BRODIGAN
VICTORIA COMMISSO,

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. Counsel for the Government has been in a jury trial during the week of August 13, 2018, and therefore needs additional time to review the filed motions and prepare substantive responses.
  - 2. The parties agree to the continuance.

Defendant.

- 3. The defendants are currently detained pending trial but do not object to a continuance of the deadlines for responses and replies.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but to allow counsel for Government and defendants sufficient time within which to be able to effective and completely research and prepare pleadings related to multiple pretrial motions that have been filed.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

24

This is the first stipulation to continue the pretrial response and reply

For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the deadlines for the response and replies to pretrial motions.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare pleadings for dispositive motions, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

### **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the parties herein shall have to and including August 27, 2018 to file any and all responsive pleadings to dispositive motions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the parties herein shall have to and including September 3, 2018, to file any and all replies to dispositive motions.

DATED this 21st day of August, 2018.

Peggy A. Leen United States Magistrate Judge